

**TOWN OF CHARLOTTE
SELECTBOARD
February 14, 2011**

APPROVED

MEMBERS PRESENT: Charles Russell, Jenny Cole, John Owen, Winslow Ladue, Ed Stone.

ADMINISTRATION: Dean Bloch, Selectboard Assistant.

OTHERS: Gerald Bouchard, Ken Upmal, Paul Hodge, Sharon Frank, Barry Gilmore, John Limanek, Derek Companion, Linda Hoyt, Jim Ambroso, Barbara Ambroso, Don Carpenter, Karyn Lunde, Brandon Kipp, Claire Leonard, Mike Solomon, Rodney Strong, Mariel Strong, Jaimie Cortez, Paul Landler, Frank Tenney, Stephen Brooks, David Miskell, Dick St George, Vince Crockenberg, Chris Fisher, Dorothy Hill, R Delaney, Mary Van Vlede, Juliann Phelps, Josh Kawzski, Drew Mahoney, Mike Yantachka, R Weed, Nancy Wood, Charlotte News; David White, Burlington Free Press; and others.

Call to Order

Charles Russell, Chair, called the meeting to order at 6:05 p.m.

Adjustments to Agenda:

None.

Public Comments

None.

Ken Upmal, VTrans - public informational meeting on resurvey of Route 7 right-of-way, pursuant to reconstruct ion project – discussion only

Mr. Upmal, VTrans representative, reviewed a proposed 1992 Route 7 project history, property owners meetings, re-design of the roadway to include addition of 8' shoulders for safety; project issues/concerns, required permitting (ANR storm water, Army Corps of Engineers and Vermont wetlands permits), and a 9 month study supportive of a new road base for a 100-year road. An up-dated re-survey has been completed on a CAD electronic format showing a legitimate historic 6-rod right-of-way of the Route 7 roadway. An amended ACT 250 permit process was on-going currently. All environmental permits have been acquired.

Mr. Upmal explained a Formal Necessity Procedure. Easements and property impacts will be negotiated with property owners. Charlotte properties along the Route 7 corridor reflect a legitimate historic 6-rod right-of-way in the land deeds.

Mr. Hodge, VTrans engineer, explained a history of Charlotte, Charlotte Charter and grants for allowances of highways and town lot layouts. In 1797, Book II, page 3, a "Stagecoach, or Post" road (Route 7) was laid out, and is also referenced in 1800 as a stage road, Book II, page 12 and Book II, page 141. The road was built under Legislative acts and known as the Old Stage Road, Burlington Road, or Post Road. A 1976 right-of-

way section in a VTrans report includes a 6-rod survey. Frank Thornton's 1986 Road Book, Vol. II, page 3, referenced a roadway of 6-rods.

Mr. Hodge reviewed the current Route 7 roadway from Ferrisburgh to Shelburne town lines and explained differences between the 1797 Survey of Record (45' wide) versus a statutory survey (24.75' wide). Route 7 was done as a Survey of Record. Forty Charlotte property surveys from the Town records were found.

Mr. Russell stated that 10 years ago we were told that the historic survey would not be used. Mr. Upmal explained that Charlotte didn't support a previous design and VTrans did not go to the Necessity step. If there were impacts outside of the statutory 3-rod right-of-way then property owners would be compensated for those impacts. VTrans has re-designed the project plans and will pursue the historic right-of-way due to its historic legitimacy and Legislative legitimacy.

Mr. Hodges said that VTrans will record a Mylar on Friday (02/18/2011) for Town records. Property owners will have 120 days from filing the Mylar to appeal the right-of-way decision. At the end of 120 days, VTrans will negotiate with property owners for any impacts outside of the historic right-of-way (shown as a red line on the CAD plans). The State will keep anything inside of the 6-rods and give up anything outside the 6-rods to property owners. A Legislative Act ordered a 6-rod right-of-way from the Vergennes Academy to Burlington. Brandon has sections that are 6, 8, and 10 rods wide. There have been no discussions of an 8-rod section in Charlotte, clarified Mr. Hodges.

Mr. Stone pointed out that Thornton said that either side of the center line of Ethan Allen Parkway belongs to property owners and not the state. Mr. Upmal reiterated that VTrans will pursue a 6-rod historic right-of-way, which is firm. Certified notices will record those Plats this Friday, including state statutes. A 120 day appeal period will start and property owners can appeal to the District Court.

Mr. Ladue noted that the revised CAD plan shows two properties where the state will give back land. At the time of filing will there be any properties where the state will take land, asked Mr. Ladue. Mr. Upmal replied that the Wild Wood West property shows a right-of-way that is further into that property. The formal Plats will not have construction design shown. Mr. Hodges clarified that the map that the Town will receive will have 4-rod surveys, which are iron pins that will be shown.

Mr. Paine, resident, said that the only way to know where the lines are would be to hire our own surveyor to show where the edge will impact property lines. If we appeal to the District Court we will have to provide supportive data/surveys for the appeal.

Mr. Gilmore, resident, asked if Wild Wood West is on a 6-rod road. Someone put markers on trees on the property, said Mr. Gilmore. Mr. Upmal explained construction limits of easement impacts. He never put any markers in the field or trees. Plats will be recorded in the Town Office for review.

Mr. Hodge explained that Vermont Survey did a 'monumented' survey two years ago.

Mr. Gilmore asked if the roadway would be moved three lanes to the west. Mr. Upmal replied that the new alignment is the same as the existing road. Construction easements are not included on the Plats. Construction limits do extend beyond the 6-rod right-of-way in some places, said Mr. Upmal. Mr. Hodges said that the right-of-way is under state owned land. Quit claim deeds, warranty deeds and easements were used to acquire additional land as needed for the existing roadway.

Mr. Limanek asked if appeals should be filed as individuals, or as a Town.

Mr. Bouchard asked Mr. Upmal to bring the Plats on Friday at a known time so property owners could ask questions and save hours of time. Mr. Upmal suggested that you want to know what the impacts to properties were. Impacts will be no different from when VTrans met with people the first time. Doubling the state's right-of-way does not change the project. One of your concerns is: would this reduce compensation for property easements. Friday may not be the appropriate time. He would come on another scheduled day to discuss limits of the project, said Mr. Upmal.

Mr. Bouchard stated that he had received two letters from state lawyers in 1992 telling me that I own to the center of the road. He abuts the road in two places, said Mr. Bouchard. Mr. Upmal said that any state highway project has many easements – drainage, utility re-location rights, reconnecting driveways, etc. The state would "purchase and fee" from the center of the road (6-rods), which is a right to buy a road and all the dirt under the road. However, Route 7 is already a state statute easement, said Mr. Upmal and asked Mr. Bouchard to find the letters for his review. Mr. Hodge explained that 'all rights, title and interest' are pursued so the state owns everything when done. This is where "necessity" comes in. We tried to clear up what right-of-way we own with the survey. If there is justification for an appeal it would be one lot at a time. Property owners can jointly hire a lawyer. Mr. Hodge clarified that 'all rights, title and interest' is land owned under allowances of highway so the state owns the land.

Mr. Fisher asked if 95 percent of the deeds say that the road is 6-rods, then what about the other 5 percent. Mr. Hodge clarified that it is not a deed, it is survey. There are Senior rights and Junior rights, said Mr. Hodge. Mr. Fisher asked how a property owner would determine their rights. Mr. Hodge explained they could go back to their deed and recorded surveys. The state went back to the recorded surveys, went out with metal detectors and found the 'monuments' as noted on the surveys. The roadway is right where the 1797 Legislative Act said to build this road. The committee members are recorded in the documents, said Mr. Hodge.

Mr. Upmal said that once the 120 day appeal is over the state would go forward and begin appraisals, negotiations, and establish Necessity.

In response to a question on raising the grade of the roadbed, Mr. Upmal said that there are two areas – the dip at the Berry Farm and at the crest of the hill. The grade would be

within 1-2' of the old design. Utility relocation would begin in 2013. Plats will not show utility relocations.

Mr. Ladue asked if the land owners would be able to see what the impacts might be (on the Plats). Mr. Upmal said that Mr. Kipp, VTrans engineer, would develop a full size set of plans and highlight all construction impacts outside of 6-rod by Friday. If there are questions, Mr. Bloch could list them with names and phone numbers. We can come back and individually go through them.

There were no further questions.

All Hazard Mitigation Plan – action under consideration: approve sending the document to FEMA for conditional approval

Ms. Leonard, CCRPC representative, reviewed a revised all Hazard Mitigation Plan document.

MOTION by Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Ladue, to approve the CCRPC to send a revised All Hazard Mitigation Plan document to FEMA on behalf of the Town of Charlotte as presented.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

Minutes: January 24, January 31

No action taken.

Green Mountain Power - action under consideration: approve petition to replace and add utility poles on Spear Street as requested in petition for Project #121596

Mr. Cortez, Green Mountain Power representative, reviewed a site plan regarding a project to relocate, replace and add utility poles along Spear Street.

MOTION by Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Owen, to approve a petition to replace and add utility poles on Spear Street as requested in petition for Project #121596.

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Cortez said that there were no changes to the plan. Additional poles are marked as noted on the plans. No alternatives were decided on the section through the woods at this time. GMP will contact the Town when an alternate route has been decided to amend the approval. The Charlotte Tree Warden has been notified. All poles have been GPS's to +/- one foot.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

The Selectboard signed the petition documents. Mr. Cortez was given a signed copy.

John and Sue Anne Patnaude - action under consideration: approve the following documents associated with approved subdivision on Eastry Court:

- **Density Reduction Easement and Transfer of Development Rights to Benefitted Property; and**
- **Open Space Agreement**

And authorize Chair to sign all documents

No action taken.

Clark Hinsdale III – action under consideration: approve the following documents associated with approved subdivision on State Park Road:

- **Roadway Agreement and Waiver;**
- **Sewage Service Agreement, Waiver and Easement;**
- **Open Space Agreement;**
- **Grant of Trail Easement (to accept the easement);**

And authorize the Chair to sign all documents

Roadway Agreement and Waiver

Mr. Miskell, agent, appeared before the Board on behalf of Mr. Hinsdale.

MOTION by Mr. Owen, seconded by Mr. Ladue, to approve a Roadway Agreement and Waiver associated with an approved subdivision, and to authorize the Selectboard Chair to sign the documents on behalf of the Town of Charlotte.

AMENDED by Mr. Owen, seconded by Mr. Ladue, at 173 State Park Road.

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Russell asked if the document has been reviewed by the Town Attorney. Mr. Bloch replied yes.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

Sewage Service Agreement, Waiver and Easement

MOTION by Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Owen, to approve a Sewage Service Agreement, Waiver and Easement at 173 State Park Road, and to authorize the Selectboard Chair to sign the documents on behalf of the Town of Charlotte.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

Open Space Agreement

MOTION by Mr. Owen, seconded by Mr. Ladue, to approve an Open Space Agreement for an approved subdivision on State Park Road, and to authorize the Selectboard Chair to sign the documents on behalf of the Town of Charlotte.

DISCUSSION:

Mr. Ladue asked for clarification of the language "...barns with one or two horses as an agricultural use..." in the document. Mr. Bloch explained that current zoning did not include one or two horses as an acceptable agricultural use.

Mr. Miskell noted that during the PC hearings no Conservation Commission concerns were raised. In the PC Decision a 'Management Plan should be proposed with recommendations by the Conservation Commission' was added. Clark agreed with the addition and met with Bob Hyams on site. Clark agreed with things way beyond what he should have. Then the Conservation Commission letter came. (Miskell) didn't believe that Conservation Commission members knew what was on the letter. Only two members signed the letter. (Miskell) called Clark and left Clark a message. It seems like a last minute response.

The Selectboard reviewed points raised on the Conservation Commission letter. Mr. Miskell said that regarding point #1, the Open Space Agreement should be sufficient; point #2 goes way overboard regarding monitoring.

Mr. Russell asked if Clark agreed to #2(b) and 2(d). Mr. Miskell replied yes. An Open Space Agreement was not proposed during the PC hearings. It was a PC request. The original proposal was for specific building envelopes with no building outside the envelopes, which was the same proposal as with other Hinsdale subdivisions.

Mr. Stone asked if Clark approved the items in the Conservation Commission letter. Mr. Miskell replied no. Clark has not seen the letter. The letter came about one-half hour ago. The property is scrub land and for the Conservation Commission to require this is beyond what is necessary. It is not a special piece of land, stated Mr. Miskell.

Mr. Russell suggested striking 2(b) and 2(d). It is not enforceable.

Mr. Ladue asked if there was a problem with postponing action. Mr. Miskell said yes. The applicant has until February 19th to file the Mylar, explained Mr. Miskell. Mr. Bloch noted that the Mylar filing deadline could not be extended as it is not authorized in the Town regulations.

Mr. Miskell said that the applicant met with the Conservation Commission prior to getting an ACT 250 permit. The comment was they wanted more on the Management Plan and we felt that we met the requirements. When Clark met with Bob Hyams Bob expressed concerns regarding row crop runoff. The property has a steep slope and the NRC said they wouldn't allow row crops on steep land. It wouldn't be anything but pasture. When a finalized Open Space Agreement was sent to ACT 250 no one expressed concerns, said Mr. Miskell. Mr. Russell suggested approving the Open Space Agreement as is.
VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

Grant of Trail Easement (to accept the easement)

MOTION by Ms. Cole, seconded by Mr. Ladue, to approve acceptance of a Grant of Trail Easement for an approved subdivision on State Park Road, and to authorize the Selectboard Chair to sign the documents on behalf of the Town of Charlotte.

DISCUSSION:

In response to a Board question on the easement, Mr. Miskell explained that the Town right-of-way is steep and Clark suggested moving it onto his land and save some trees. Mr. Russell asked if it was possible to get through there within the 500'. Mr. Limanek said that the Trails Committee agreed that it is better and 100' is good enough.

Ms. Cole asked for clarification regarding page 2, 1st paragraph, closing the trail if it is muddy. How would one determine if 'five days in advance' is doable, said Ms.

Cole. Mr. Miskell replied that it came out of the Schneider/Donovan application. Clark is fine with it, said Mr. Miskell. Mr. Limanek stated that there were advantages for keeping it. The land owner could close the trail.

Mr. Miskell suggested that a standard template versus having to invent wording each time would be good to have.

VOTE: 4 ayes, 1 nay (Mr. Stone); motion carried.

Clark Hinsdale III - offer to convey to the Town an easement for a driveway, parking area, and trail on the “Varney Farm” - action under consideration: accept easement and designate Selectboard member to represent the Town at closing

Mr. Miskell, agent, appeared before the Board on behalf of Mr. Hinsdale.

Ms. Cole reviewed that the easement has been under discussion for a number of years. The site plan shows a Varney Farm/Charlotte Park access moving slightly south as a primary access versus the Thorpe Barn access. A change in the plan creates a loop roadway for equestrian parking with a drive-around for horse trailers to exit from the area without having to backup. It is a limited use access and will allow emergency vehicle, ADA, and farmer access. A trail easement would connect to the Charlotte Park trails. There would be a trail barrier and a gate at the loop roadway. The easement allows the Varney Farm owner to relocate the loop road if it interferes with their use, said Ms. Cole.

Mr. Brooks asked how the term “elderly” would be defined.

Ms. Hill, Varney Farm abutter, asked how “disabled” is defined. Do they have to be handicapped, asked Ms. Hill. Ms. Cole replied that it could be by permission, or a sticker permit. There is an ordinance related to the Charlotte Park and Wildlife Refuge that could be reviewed, suggested Ms. Cole. Mr. Russell suggested referencing the ordinance. Restrictions would be worked out in the ZBA and PC permitting process, said Mr. Russell.

Ms. Hill said there were many ‘what ifs’ in the document. The document sets the standards and everything flows from that. She was not informed of the easement proposal as an abutter. The Park Oversight Committee doesn’t include a neighbor on the committee. The easement was open-ended and the landowner could change the parking area, the loop road, and trail access, stated Ms. Hill. Ms. Cole said that the Town is aware of concerns to control access and a lot of the questions will be answered. The land owner could change the loop road location if it interferes with the farm, clarified Ms. Cole.

MOTION by Mr. Stone, to accept an easement for a driveway, parking area, and trail on the “Varney Farm”, and to designate a Selectboard member to represent the Town at closing. Mr. Stone withdrew his motion. The Town is only getting an easement for moving a driveway, not for parking and a trail access. He was against it.

There was further discussion regarding the proposed easement language and missing specificity, such as definitions for “elderly”, if the Charlotte Road Commissioner reviewed the proposed access, if the proposed Varney Farm access was safe for horses (Mr. Stone pointed out that truck jake brakes could scare horses, loose horses were very dangerous, and exiting the farm onto Route 7 was a concern.), what the landowner could change (the loop road because it was near the barn), and if the road cut approval was still current. Mr. Bloch stated that Clark called the Town this afternoon and it is still active. The language says that “...with mutual consent of the Town...” to change the loop location.

Mr. Owen said he would like to see more specifics.

Mr. Ladue said that if the Town adopts an ordinance to enforce parking rules then that would set definitions.

Mr. Russell noted that the easement says it is not to be used as a main entrance to the Charlotte Park for the general public.

Ms. Cole said that there was talk about two documents two years ago:

1. The easement.
2. Rules that would apply to the gate location, hours of operation, who parks there, etc.

MOTION by Ms. Cole, seconded by Mr. Owen, to accept an offer to convey an easement and right-of-way deed from Nordic Holsteins, LLC to the Town of Charlotte for an access easement, parking area, and trail on the “Varney Farm” as depicted on an Site Plan, dated August, 2004, and updated on January 27, 2011, and to designate a Selectboard member to represent the Town at closing.

VOTE: 4 ayes, 1 nay (Mr. Stone); motion carried.

Amendment of Motor Vehicle and Traffic Regulation Ordinance to create a speed limit of 35 miles per hour on Greenbush Road between the Old Lantern and the Mack Farm (approximately) and 30 miles per hour on Hinesburg Road between Church Hill Road and Mount Philo Road – discussion only.

(NOTE: the Agenda item was corrected to read “35 mph on Greenbush Road...” and “...30 mph on Hinesburg Road...”)

Mr. Russell briefly reviewed a proposed ordinance amendment to reduce speed limits on a section of Greenbush Road.

Ms. Phelps, Greenbush Road resident, asked the Selectboard to consider extending the speed reduction further down the road to the sharp curve. Several foot races and bikers use the road and there are safety concerns, said Ms. Phelps.

Mr. Bloch reviewed a State of Vermont safety study done on Hinesburg/Charlotte Road. There was discussion to reduce the speed to 30 mph for 24 hours per day in front of the school versus just during school hours.

Mr. St George suggested placing a speed cart in the area to remind people to slow down.

Town Hall Repairs – action under consideration: approve purchase of 8 windows

Mr. St George explained water damage was discovered in the wall between two windows. He recommended the purchase of four Pella windows at this time.

MOTION by Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Owen, to approve the purchase of 4 Pella windows as presented.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

West Charlotte Village Wastewater Committee – discussion only

Mr. Ladue, Mr. Marshall and Mr. Crockenberg, West Charlotte Village Wastewater Committee members, reviewed a committee update and written summary regarding a municipal collection and disposal resource located on the Burns property related to base line use, current use, unallocated capacity (3,500 gpd), and policy issues including public health, safety and commercial use goals. Commercial businesses interested in hooking into the system were the Children's Center, the Old Brick Store, and Frost.

Mr. Marshall explained a population projection increase of 25-50 percent over 50 years, depending on planning and zoning regulations.

Mr. Russell asked if a question was how to split the resource among users. It would be useful to see how other towns have addressed the issue, for example; first come-first served, or a lottery, suggested Mr. Russell. Mr. Marshall replied that the committee had some recommendations.

Mr. Ladue asked if a Town Meeting discussion could be introduced under Article I. Mr. Crockenberg suggested that the committee could hand out copies of the summary report with a map versus a PowerPoint presentation.

There was lengthy discussion regarding alternative existing wastewater disposal areas, such as the one serving the post office, the LeBoeuf property, and in proximity to where the interest was and where it was economical to do; reserving capacity for nine affordable housing units on the Burns property (Mr. Marshall explained that once 6,500 gpd was reached then expensive environmental studies and a dilute pollutant load study was needed. If there are separate uses – municipal and affordable housing – and there is 6,500 gpd then you could have 20 senior housing units.), and indirect disposal rules.

Mr. Stone said that a senior affordable housing project is worthwhile and we should focus on that. Regarding priorities; if the Town does it then the Town is the one to keep seniors in Charlotte. Mr. Marshall said that the 2003 work identified capacity on the Burns and

Barber's Hill properties. Other sites with large capacity were identified. Planning would assure future capacity.

Mr. Ladue said that one point discussed is language in the Town Plan that identifies a commercial area. A question is should the Selectboard facilitate growth in the commercial area.

Mr. Bloch reviewed issues as follows:

- Reserve capacity for (up to 20) senior affordable housing units and commercial use.
- Capacity at Barber Hill.

Mr. Marshall said the committee could have a table in the hall at Town Meeting, hand out summary report copies and ask for feedback.

Mr. Ladue asked if the Town should underwrite some of the costs to connect into a disposal system, and if the area of interest identified as the green area on the map should be the starting point.

Prepare for Town Meeting – discussion only

Mr. Russell handed out copies of a draft Selectboard Report for the Town Report that included a Conservation Fund Balance and Tax Rate chart, and briefly reviewed the chart.

Presentations:

- Mr. Russell will work with Mary Mead before the Town Meeting on a budget presentation.
- Mr. Ladue will introduce the West Charlotte Village Waste Water Committee at Town Meeting.
- Conservation Fund explanation and review. Mr. Hamilton will be contacted to make sure he is available if needed.
- Fire Truck – Chris Davis, Fire Chief.
- Quinlan Covered Bridge project alternatives.
- Repair and Maintenance Fund.
- Auditors (discussion at the 02/28/2011 Selectboard meeting).

Thompson's Point Lot 181 at 850 North Shore Road – action under consideration: renew 20 year lease to Clyde and Jeannette Baker (Honeymoon Hotel, LLC)

MOTION by Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Owen, to approve a renewal a 20 year lease to Clyde and Jeannette Baker (Honeymoon Hotel, LLC), Thompson's Point Lot 181, 850 North Shore Road, as presented.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

Spear's Corner Store – applications for renewal of Tobacco Sales License and Second Class Liquor License – action under consideration (as Liquor control board): approve licenses

MOTION by Mr. Ladue, seconded by Mr. Owen, to recess as the Charlotte Selectboard and convene as the Charlotte Liquor License Board.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

MOTION by Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Owen, to approve the Spear's Corner Store applications for renewal of Tobacco Sales License and Second Class Liquor License as presented.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

MOTION by Mr. Ladue, seconded by Mr. Owen, to adjourn as the Charlotte Liquor License Board and reconvene as the Charlotte Selectboard.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

Naming of Trails – discussion only

Mr. Bloch briefly asked the Selectboard for opinions regarding naming of Town trails.

Ms. Cole suggested that the first name for a new Ferry Road trail could be in honor of Cowboy Lewis.

Ms. Wood, resident, asked if the Charlotte Park's formal name was the Charlotte Park and Wildlife Refuge. It is a mouthful, and people still call it the park as Demeter's Park.

Selectboard updates – discussion only

Mr. Bloch reported that the Energy Committee has approved payment of the final Northeast Construction bill. Northeast fixed the remaining issues.

Next Selectboard meeting dates: 02/28/2011, 03/07/2011 and 03/14/2011.

Ms. Cole said that the Park Oversight Committee's next meeting was Tuesday, 02/15/2011, to discuss a "Friends of the Park" group and creation of a fund. A clear policy is needed regarding the use of the Town's non profit status. The Park Oversight Committee would like to have a table at Town Meeting, said Ms. Cole.

Mr. Russell suggested adding a discussion regarding use of the Town's non profit status related to creating a fund to the 02/28/2011 Selectboard agenda.

Mr. Ladue asked to have a discussion regarding additional Town land that is suitable for agricultural use at some, or no fee.

Approval of Bills and Warrants

The Selectboard signed Bills and Warrants as presented.

Adjournment

MOTION by Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Owen, to adjourn the meeting.

VOTE: 5 ayes; motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, Kathlyn L. Furr, Recording Secretary